Interview Summary	Application No. 09/662,392	Applicant(s)  MARIELLA, RAYMOND F
	Examiner MONZER R CHORBAJI	Art Unit
articipants (applicant, applicant's representativ	(3)	
DDIE E. SCOTT. te of Interview: 27 May 2004.	(4)	
pe: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conferer c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applic		ative]
ibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	Yes e)⊠ No.	

Claim(s) discussed: 1-6 and 10.

F

Identification of prior art discussed: Davies (U.S.P.N. 6,375,697) and Pearman (U.S.P.N. 6,296,808).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet,

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be statched.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IS INTERVIEW. (See IMPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been fled, APPLICANT IS GOREN OR MORNITH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAURING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview quickness to review a decelor on attached shade or on attached shade.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with engand to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview

## Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

in every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examinary, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview ag watering forcities account must be find by the accidant An interview does not remove the necessity for rook to Office accidant as specified in 65 1.111. 1.135. (35.05.5.127)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to the transacted in writing.
All business with the Patient or Trademark. Office should be bransacted in writing. The present alternatives or their attorneys or agents at the Patient and Trademark. Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patient and Trademark. Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patient and Trademark. Office is unnecessary. any alleged stall promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pornting out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no securate interview Summary Record is required

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a selephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other orcumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information: - Application Number (Series Code and Senal Number)

- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic video-conference or personal).

paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or daims agreed as being allowable). Note, Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary,
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)
- It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper reportation of the interview

unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted
- 2) an identification of the daims discussed. 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not
- required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "interview Record OK" on the Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed of an apprehend was reached, or any other comments. The examinest beleaghouted Mic Scott to inform him has the declaration received on 0.308/2004 is proper resulting in removal of the Daviss reference. As indicated by the previous examiner. (Seen Conley) and orgin for the this declaration that claims 7.18 are allowable and claim 1.st all not pentantible over the Pearman reference. The examiner suggested to Mr. Scott to cancel claims 1.6 and claim 10 and to put the case into allowance. Mr. Scott conference declaration 1.5 and claims 1.0 and to put the case into allowance. Mr. Scott conference declaration 1.5 and claims 1.0 and to put the case into

.